JUDGE HELLERSTEIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE WORLD TRADE	E CENTER SITE LIT	IGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
STEVEN CARLINO AN	(ECF)		
		,	SUMMONS
		Plaintiffs,	08 CV_
-against-			
THE CITY OF NEW YO AMEC CONSTRUCTIO	PRK, and N MANAGEMENT	, INC., et al.,	Jury Trial Demanded
		Defendants.	
Plaintiffs' Attorney: Sul 120 Nev 212	livan Papain Block M Broadway, 18 th Flow W York, New York 1 W732,9000	AcGrath & Cannavo P.C or 0271	
an Answer to the of this Summons, upon you default will be taken again			rithin 20 days after service fail to do so, judgment by aint.
J. MICHAEL Mo	MAHON	JUN 2 9	3008
Clerk	r may 15 s	Date	
Canada A	20 stare	JUN 2	3 2008
By: Deputy Clerk	•	Date	

TO:

AMEC CONSTRUCTION
MANAGEMENT, INC. and other AMEC entities
c/o Tara Saybe
Patton Boggs LLP
1 Riverfront Plaza, 6th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

TULLY CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. and other TULLY entities c/o Tara Saybe
Patton Boggs LLP
1 Riverfront Plaza, 6th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

CITY OF NEW YORK By: Corporation Counsel 100 Church Street New York, New York 10007 BOVIS LEND LEASE, LMB, INC. and other BOVIS entities c/o Mound Cotton Wollan & Greengrass Mark J. Weber, Esq. One Battery Park Plaza New York, NY 10004-1486

TURNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY and other TURNER entities c/o London Fisher LLP Attn: John Starling, Esq. 59 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK JUDGE HELLERSTEIN IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
STEVEN CARLINO AND THERESA CARLINO,	U.S.D.C. S.D. N.Y. CHECK OFF (ESPORT FORM")
Plaintiffs, - against -	COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, INC., et al.,	PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY
Defendants.	
Dry Ondon of all III to the	

By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.

NOTICE OF ADOPTION

All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaints are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an "V" if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is

Plaintiff, by his attorneys SULLIVAN PAPAIN BLOCK MCGRATH & CANNAVO, P.C. complaining of Defendants, respectfully alleges:

11752, and has the following relationship to the Injured Plaintiff:

PARTIES I.

PLAINTIFF(S)

1.	X Plaintiff STEVEN CARLINO (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and a citizen of New York residing at 89 Amityville Street, Islip Terrace, New York 11752.
2.	Alternatively, is the of Decedent, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of,
3.	X Plaintiff, THERESA CARLINO (hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is an individual and a citizen of New York residing at 89 Amityville Street, Islip Terrace, New York 11752, and has the following relationship to the Injured Plaintiff.

	at all relevant times herein, is and has been lawfully LINO, and brings this derivative action for her loss nusband, Plaintiff STEVEN CARLINO. Other:
	1, 2001 through the end of September 2001, and 1, 2002, the injured 2003 Site of September 2001 and January 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2001 and January 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2001, and January 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2001, and January 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2001, and January 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2001, and January 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2001, and January 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2001, and January 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2001, and January 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2001, and 2004 Site of September 2001, and 2004 Site of September 2001, and 2004 Site of September 2002, the injured 2004 Site of September 2004 Site of Septembe
	illing in the following dates and locations
X The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) throughout the four quadrants. From September 11, 2001 through the end of September 2001, October 2001, and thereafter, concluding on or about the end of January 2002. For many of these days, the Plaintiff worked 12 hour shifts. In September 2001, the Plaintiff worked multiple 24 hour shifts. He concluded his WTC service with what was known as a "30 day detail" in January 2002. The injured plaintiff last worked at the World Trade Center Site in January 2002. The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about	From on or about
Approximately days total. The Fresh Kills Landfill	
From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
*Continue this information on a separate sheet of par "Other" locations, please annex a separate sheet of parate sheet of para	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify te sheet of paper with the information.
J a a a amazuri.	exious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
\underline{X} Was exposed to and inhaled or indicated above;	ngested toxic substances and particulates on all
Please read this docu h is very important that you fill out each a	nent carefully: id every section of this document.

X the	Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at site(s) indicated above;
	Other:
6.	Injured Plaintiff
<u>X</u>	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
⊐	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

Case 1:08-cv-05735-AKH Filed 06/26/2008 Page 6 of 13 Document 1 The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein. X THE CITY OF NEW YORK ☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC A Notice of Claim was timely filed and $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, served on and pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-h 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P. the CITY held a hearing on A RUSSO WRECKING The City has yet to hold a hearing as ABM INDUSTRIES, INC. required by General Municipal Law §50-h ☐ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC. More than thirty days have passed and the $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. City has not adjusted the claim $\overline{\square}$ STEVEN CORTESE SPECIALIZED HAULING, (OR) LLC, INC. $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ A Petition/application to ☐ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP \underline{X} deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of ☐ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant CORPORATION Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim Nunc \square BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC. Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of Claim ☐ BECHTEL CORPORATION Nunc Pro Tunc) has been filed and a determination ☐ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ is pending ☐ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC. Granting petition was made on _ \square BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION Denying petition was made on CORP $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. \square PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC. NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"] BREEZE CARTING CORP A Notice of Claim was filed and served ☐ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The ☐ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP. Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New \square BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, York on P.C. More than sixty days have elapsed since ☐ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP the Notice of Claim was filed, (and) ☐ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP the PORT AUTHORITY has CANTOR SEINUK GROUP adjusted this claim ☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF the PORT AUTHORITY has not adjusted NEW YORK, INC. this claim. CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC \square 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC \square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. \square 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH \square 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC ☐ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP ☐ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. \square 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC

Please read this document carefully.

H is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC

☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC

4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC

DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.

☐ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS CORP

☐ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.

ig| $oxed{X}$ TURNER CONSTRUCTION CO.

Please read this document carefully,
(is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Non-WTC Site Building Owner	
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	
Non-WTC Site Lessee	
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

LLC

Non-WTC Site Building Managing Name:	Agent
Business/Service Address: Building/Worksite Address:	

II. JURISDICTION

8. The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

 \underline{X} Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; \underline{X} ; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act

III CAUSES OF ACTION

Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive

X	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	X	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
X	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)	The state of the s	 X Air Quality; X Effectiveness of Mask Provided; X Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided

Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

X	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); Other(specify):
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		X	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
<u></u>		الا إ	Other:

IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

9. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury:	T , =	
-	Date of onset:		TITION TO THE TAXABLE PARTY.
Ī	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date of onset:
1	WTC work:		Date physician first connected this injury
	WIC WOIK.		to WTC work:
X	Paspiratory I	<u> </u>	
	Dirayory inflamment		Fear of Cancer
-	airway inflammation; bronchial wall		Date of onset:
	thickening; sinusitis; and other injuries, the		Date physician first connected this injury
	full extent of which is not yet known.		to WTC work:
Ī	Date of onset: In March of 2007, Plaintiff		
	visited the Bureau of Health Services at the		
	Fire Department of the City of New York		
	for a medical checkup on a shoulder injury		
	unrelated to the claims at issue in this	ĺ	
	action. Plaintiff had been placed on light		
	duty for the shoulder injury. In March of		
	2007, Fire Department doctors determined		
	that Plaintiff was exhibiting pulmonary	ļ	
	congestion, and referred him for a CT scan		
	of his chest. On May 18, 2007 Plaintiff		
	underwent a CI scan. Katherine Parker		
	M.D. and loannis Vlahos, M.D. the doctors		
	administering the CT scan found "mild"		
	diffuse bronchial wall thickening and		
	evidence of airway inflammation without		
	significant air tranning Small		**************************************
	indeterminate nodules for which CT follow		
	up is recommended as clinically indicated to		
	assess for any interval change." They	ĺ	
	recommended that Plaintiff "reneat CT		
	study in 6 months"		
	Shortly thereafter, Dr. Michael Weiden		
	diagnosed Plaintiff with sinusitis Plaintiff		
	was unaware of the severity of his		
	symptoms until well after their onset and	-	
	only upon learning of the diagnoses.		
	To date Plaintiff's doctors have diagnosed		
	Plaintiff with pulmonary nodules; airway		
	inflammation; bronchial wall thickening;	1	
	sinusitis; and other injuries, the full extent		
	of which is not yet known.		
	Date physician first connected this injury	1	
	to WTC work: May 2007		
	2007		Table 1
	Digestive Injury:		
<u>-</u> 1			Other Injury:

Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	
Grou dama	and/or	e i	ninriec	identified in a second 1949 1	
<u>X</u> <u>X</u>	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life		X	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
X	Loss of the enjoyment of fire Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	Y Other: X Mental anguish X Disability			
<u>X</u>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		 	Medical monitoring Other:	
	11. As a direct and proximate res	ul	t of the	injuries described surva the derivative	

11. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiffs demand that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York

May , 2008

Yours, etc.

SULLIVAN PAPAIN BLOCK MCGRATH & CANNAVO P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

BY:

Andrew J Carboy (AC 2)

120 Broadway - 18th Floor New York, New York 10271

Tel: (212) 732-9000

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT WITH JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant(s)

SULLIVAN PAPAIN BLOCK MCGRATH & CANNAVO P.C. Attorneys for

120 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10271 (212) 732-9000

Pursuant	to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1-a, the u	ndersigned, an attorne	n admitted to m	nactice in the	
document obtained to not partici	hat, upon information and b are not frivolous and that (2 hrough illegal conduct, or tha ipating in the matter or shari personal injury or wrongful o	t if it was, the attorney	nquiry, (1) the onent is an inition or other persons	contentions conta ating pleading, (i, a responsible for th	ined in the annexed) the matter was no he illegal conduct are
Dated:		Signature			
		Print Signer's Name.	******************************		
Service of	a copy of the within				io homoho - de ita
Dated:			-		is hereby admitted
				4.4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
		Attorney	(s) for		
PLEASE '	TAKE NOTICE				
NOTICE OF ENTRY	that the within is a (certif entered in the office of the	ied) true copy of a clerk of the within-no	amed Court on		20
NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT	that an Order of which the Hon. at	within is a true copy ,	y will be presen one of the judg	nted for settlemen les of the within-	nt to the named Court,
	on	20	, at	М.	
Dated:					•

SULLIVAN PAPAIN BLOCK MCGRATH & CANNAVO P.C. Attorneys for